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What is Student Engagement? Why does it Matter?
Student engagement is the time and energy students devote to educationally purposeful activities and the extent to which the institution induces students participation in the right things...it's the single best predictor of learning and personal development.

A Challenge in Higher Education Today
“Helping our extraordinarily diverse students reap the full benefits - economic, civic and personal - of their studies in college”
-- Carol Geary Schneider, President AAC&U

What Really Matters in College: Student Engagement
Because individual effort and involvement are the critical determinants of impact, institutions should focus on the ways they can shape their academic, interpersonal, and extracurricular offerings to encourage student engagement.

The NSSE Project
• Assessment tool provides institutions with data about student engagement in educational practices that research correlates with student learning & success
• NSSE results are immediately actionable - institutions can use information to pinpoint strengths & identify areas for improvement
• Results useful for research and to guide educational practice

Lessons about Effective Educational Practices
1. Engagement benefits all students - but, some students benefit more.
2. Deep approaches to learning are worth fostering.
3. Some educational activities have greater impact on student learning than others.
4. Variation in engagement is greater within than between institutions.
What we’ve learned from NSSE - 1

• Student learning and personal development are enhanced when students engage in educationally purposeful activities.
• The single best predictor of student satisfaction with college is the degree to which they perceive the college environment to be supportive of their academic and social needs.
• Effective educational practices measured by NSSE are independent of institutional selectivity.

What we’ve learned from NSSE - 2

• At institutions where faculty members use effective educational practices more frequently in their classes, students are more engaged over all and gain more from college.
• Schools that have a lower student-faculty ratio, more full-time faculty, and more classes with fewer than 20 students generally score higher on all five NSSE benchmarks.
• Student engagement positively related to student grades and to persistence for all students.

Effect of Engagement on GPA by ACT scores

Engagement is positively related to grades, retention for all students, but some students – those entering with low ACT scores and historically underrepresented students – appear to benefit more than others from the same educational programs or practices.

Educational Activities that Matter to Success

19 NSSE items, Educationally Purposeful Activities:
• Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions
• Made a class presentation
• Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment
• Worked with other students on projects during class
• Worked with classmates outside of class on assignments
• Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary)
• Participated in a community-based project as part of course
• Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor
• Discussed ideas from readings/classes with faculty outside class
• Received prompt feedback on your academic performance
• Worked harder than you thought
• Worked with faculty on activities outside coursework (committees, student life, etc.)
• Discussed ideas from readings/classes with others
• Had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity and those who differ from you - religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values

NSSE Connecting the Dots Finding: Compensatory Effect of Engagement

- Engagement has compensatory effect on FY grades & persistence to the second year.
- Historically underserved students tend to benefit more from engagement than majority students.
Deep Approaches to Learning: The kind of learning we want for all students

- From AAC&U: We “hope for students to engage intellectually and seriously with what is taught... [leading to] deep learning ...the ability to defend positions...write well and think clearly... to develop rational and reflective minds, open to continuous learning...” (Greater Expectations pp. 8-9)

Deep Approaches to Learning

- Attend to the underlying meaning of information as well as content
- Integrate and synthesize different ideas, sources of information
- Discern patterns in evidence or phenomena
- Apply knowledge in different situations
- View issues from multiple perspectives
- Increase in learning-centered activities that foster deeper levels of understanding and application for global work and citizenship

See: John Tagg (2005) The Learning Paradigm College

NSSE Deep Approaches to Learning Scales

- Deep approaches to learning
  - Higher order thinking (item 2b,c,d,e)
  - Integrated learning (items 1d,e,i,p,t)
  - Reflective learning (items 6d,e,f)

  - Educationally substantive information, interesting to faculty
  - Perform well in analyses

NSSE Deep/Integrative Learning

- Integrating ideas or information from various sources
- Included diverse perspectives in class discussions/writing
- Put together ideas from different courses
- Discussed ideas with faculty members outside of class
- Discussed ideas with others outside of class
- Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory

- Synthesizing & organizing ideas, info., or experiences
- Making judgments about the value of information
- Applying theories to practical problems or in new situations
- Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views
- Tried to better understand someone else’s views
- Learned something that changed how you understand an issue

Findings about NSSE Deep Approaches to Learning & Student Outcomes

- Students engage in and faculty encourage deep approaches to learning at relatively high levels across disciplines and institutional types
- Seniors, FT students, and students at LACs engage in deep approaches more than their counterparts
- Increased participation in deep approaches is associated with elevated levels of engagement in other areas, including enriching educational experiences, time spent preparing for class, time spent on co-curricular activities
- Strong relationship between deep approaches and self-reported gains in intellectual and social development
- Moderate relationship between satisfaction and deep learning
- Relatively weak relationship between grades and deep learning

Findings from NSSE and AAC&U:
Some Educational Activities are Unusually Effective

Growing evidence that “high-impact practices” provide substantial educational benefits to students
**High Impact Activities**

- First-Year Seminars and Experiences
- Common Intellectual Experiences
- Learning Communities
- Writing-Intensive Courses
- Collaborative Assignments and Projects
- “Science as Science Is Done”; Undergraduate Research
- Diversity/Global Learning
- Service Learning, Community-Based Learning
- Internships
- Capstone Courses and Projects

**Learning More about High Impact Activities**

Activities collected by NSSE include participation in:

- Learning Communities
- Service Learning
- Research with a Faculty Member
- Study Abroad
- Culminating Senior Experience

**Outcomes Associated with High Impact Practices**

- **Deep learning**: Attend to underlying meaning of information as well as content; Integrate and synthesize ideas, information; Discern patterns in evidence or phenomena; Apply knowledge in different situations; View issues from multiple perspectives

- **Educational Gains**:
  - General Education – Writing; speaking clearly; gen ed; critical thinking
  - Practical Competence – Working with others, solving real problems, work related knowledge
  - Personal/Social Development – understanding self; contributing to community;

**Effects of Participating in High Impact Practices on Deep/Integrative Learning and Gains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First-Year Students</th>
<th>Seniors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Learning Communities</td>
<td>Service Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Learning</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Education</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/Social</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Competence</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .001; ++ p < .001, Unstd B > .10; +++ p < .001, Unstd B > .30

**High Impact Activities**

- All associated with desirable learning and personal development outcomes.

- Some features have more impact.

- Not all students take part.
Learning Communities

- Formal program where groups of students (FY) take two or more classes together
- NSSE explored different program features of LC’s ...
- LC programs that integrate material across courses are associated with higher student engagement and learning

Learning Community Program Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrative course or discussion group</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related to academic major</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required out-of-class activities</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courses closed to LC students</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignments integrate material</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate peer advisors</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority of courses in LC</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Service Learning

- Community-based project as part of a regular course
- More likely to be present at smaller and private institutions
- Positively associated with deep learning and personal development

Service Learning

- High ACT more likely to do service
- Males: “don’t plan to do service.”
- Being in a LC most important predictor for FY students’ propensity to volunteer
- Education & Business students do more service than others...
- Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE) results show 55% faculty teaching FY think “community service” is “important” but, less than 25% do “service-learning” in their FY courses.

Effects of Participating in High Impact Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-Year Learning Communities</th>
<th>Research w/ Faculty</th>
<th>Study Abroad</th>
<th>Senior Capstone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep Learning</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Education</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/Social Development</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Competence</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<.001; ++ p<.01, Unstd B >.10; +++ p<.001, Unstd B >.30
High-Impact Practices: Research with a Faculty Member

• Outside of course or program requirements
• More likely at Bac A&S colleges and in the sciences; Less likely in business
• Majority utilized existing info (libraries, WWW), and almost half worked in laboratory and fieldwork settings.
• Reviewing literature and interpreting findings most closely related to deep learning. Data collection had the weakest relationship.

Study Abroad

• Students who studied overseas engaged more frequently in educationally purposeful activities afterwards and reported gaining more from college.
• Students who lived with host nationals – in home stays or in dorms – benefited more in terms of integrative and reflective learning, and personal and social gains.
• The length of time spent overseas did not make a difference in the frequency with which students used deep learning approaches after returning or their self-reported gains.

Culminating Senior Activities

• Capstone course, senior project/thesis, comp exam, etc.
• Field placements have impact on greatest number of gains,
• Also beneficial:
  - Projects that required the greatest investment of time,
  - Working in groups,
  - Meeting often with supervising faculty member, and
  - Receiving clear expectations for the activity.

Study Abroad: Implication for Practice

• Amount of time abroad not as important as whether student has such an experience.
• Value in increasing short-term cross-cultural or “study away”, “May term” opportunities for students who cannot be away from their home institution for extended period of time

Effects of Participating in High Impact Practices on Deep/Integrative Learning and Gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Year Students</th>
<th>Seniors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Abroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Communities</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Learning</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Learning</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Education</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/Social Development</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Competence</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is it about these high-impact activities that appear to be so effective with students?

Practices Increase Odds That Students Will:

✓ Invest time and effort
✓ Interact with faculty and peers about substantive matters
✓ Experience diversity
✓ Get more frequent feedback
✓ Discover relevance of their learning through real-world applications
What to do??

Make it possible for every student to participate in at least two high impact activities

- One in First Year
  - FY seminars
  - Learning communities
  - Service learning
- One Later in Major
  - Study abroad
  - Student-faculty research
  - Field placement or internship
  - Capstone project

Assessment questions about high-impact practices

1. How often are students experiencing high-impact practices?
2. Do all students have an equal likelihood of participating? To what extent do underrepresented students participate?
3. Are these practices done well?

Participation in High-Impact Educational Experiences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Institution</th>
<th>First-Year Experiences</th>
<th>Junior-Year Experiences</th>
<th>Senior-Year Experiences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Learning</td>
<td>Service Learning</td>
<td>Research with Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Selective</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Generation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Started</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Started Elsewhere</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 24</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 or Older</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSSE and Institutional Comparisons of Undergraduate Quality

1. NSSE offers comparative information (select peers, Carnegie types, NSSE cohort) to inform discourse on quality in undergraduate education
2. Institutions use comparative information for benchmarking, demonstrating effectiveness etc.
3. HOWEVER, from a teaching and learning perspective, we need to move beyond institution-level means to consider engagement among all students — Who are the least engaged, and what can we do?

Variation With-in

Quality is not uniform within institutions. The lion’s share of the variation is among students, within institutions.

Lesson: Look at student variation within your institution. How do experiences differ by major, student subgroups, who are our least engaged students?
Variation Within

- What does it mean to look at variation within?
  - Examine variation in student experience by major, by groups of related majors, or demographic or enrollment subgroups.
  - Or, examine: who are the least engaged students (for ex: the bottom quarter of the distribution within an institution), and what can be done to improve their experience so as to narrow the gap between an institution’s least and most engaged students?
- Another implication: even high-performing institutions have work to do to improve the experience of all students.
- Two case studies based on real data from two NSSE 2008 institutions illustrated in NSSE AR 2008.
  - 1. Examine Supportive Environment for 3 student groups: Honors, Educational Opportunity Program (EOP) and, “All Other Students.”
  - 2. Examine Enriching Experiences by discipline/major.

Promise of Student Engagement

“If faculty and administrators use principles of effective practice to arrange the curriculum & other aspects of the college experience, students would... write more papers, read more books, meet with faculty and peers, and use information technology appropriately, all of which would result in greater gains in such areas as critical thinking, problem solving, effective communication, and responsible citizenship.”

Kuh, Kinzie, Schuh, Whitt & Associates, Student Success in College, 2005

Institutional Conditions for Student Success

- For institutional programs, practices to be effective they must...
  - Involve a significant proportion of students
  - Be of high quality, employ best practices, meet needs of your students & attend to campus culture
  - Be knit into students experience – be unavoidable
  - Be assessed, monitored, reviewed, improved

Final thought on Student Success....

“We don’t want students to go through school, but for school to go through them.”

Faculty member, Fayetteville State University

Reactions, Discussion and Questions??

1. Is your institution focused on these matters?
2. What other effective educational practices are emphasized at your institution?

www.nsse.iub.edu